



KERALA GAZETTE

കേരള ഗസറ്റ്

PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

ആധികാരികമായി പ്രസിദ്ധപ്പെടുത്തുന്നത്

Vol. LV വാല്യം 55	THIRUVANANTHAPURAM, TUESDAY തിരുവനന്തപുരം, ചൊവ്വ	6th April 2010 2010 ഏപ്രിൽ 6 16th Chaithra 1932 1932 ചൈത്രം 16	No. നമ്പർ	14
----------------------	---	---	--------------	----

PART I

Notifications and Orders issued by the Government

Labour and Rehabilitation Department Labour and Rehabilitation (A)

ORDERS

(1)

G O. (Rt.) No. 271/2010/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 17th February 2010.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Secretary, Soubhagya Apartment Owners Association, Soubhagya Apartments, Parayanchery, Puthiyara P. O., Kozhikode and the workman of the above referred establishment Shri O. Vijayan, Vrindavan, Puthiyedath, Pooladikunnu, Eranjikkal P. O., Kozhikode in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947

(Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kozhikode. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment to Shri O. Vijayan, Workman by the management of Soubhagya Apartment Owners Association, Soubhagya Apartments, Parayanchery, Puthiyara P. O., Kozhikode is justifiable or not ? If not, what are the benefits he is entitled to get ?

(2)

G O. (Rt.) No. 272/2010/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 17th February 2010.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Managing Director, Kerala Feeds Limited, Kallettumkara, Thrissur and the workmen of the above referred establishment represented by the President, Kerala Feeds Workers Union, Kallettumkara, Thrissur-680 683 in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Palakkad. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of overtime arrears, consequent on signing of long term agreement to the employees of Kerala Feeds Limited by the management of Kerala Feeds Limited is justifiable or not ?

(3)

G O. (Rt.) No. 280/2010/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 18th February 2010.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between Shri K. R. Jayachandran, Proprietor of Jaya Bakery & Ice Cream Parlour, Kanjani P. O., Thrissur District and the workman of the above referred establishment Shri K. P. Sunny s/o. Porinju, Kuruthukulangara, Kunnathangadi, Veluthoor P. O., Thrissur District in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order ;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Palakkad. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment to Shri K. P. Sunny, Bakeman by the Proprietor of Jaya Bakery & Ice Cream Parlour, Kanjani, Thrissur is justifiable ? If not, what relief he is entitled to get ?

(4)

G O. (Rt.) No. 281/2010/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 18th February 2010.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between Smt. Mary Varghese, Proprietrix, A. P. Kakku & Sons, A N S Complex, Anamala Junction, Chalakudy, Thrissur and the workman of the above referred establishment Shri E. Sasikumar s/o. Imbrammadathil Krishnankutty, Thottippal P. O.,

Thrissur District in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order ;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Palakkad. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment to Shri E. Sasikumar by the management of A. P. Kakku & Sons is justifiable ? If not, what relief he is entitled to get ?

(5)

G O. (Rt.) No. 283/2010/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 18th February 2010.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between Shri S. M. Venkita Narayanan, Proprietor, S. M. V. Associates, Main Road, Kollam and the workman of the above referred establishment Shri P. Padmakumar, Sreevilasam, Adhikkad, Eravipuram P. O., Kollam in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order ;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kollam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment to Shri P. Padmakumar, Document Clerk with effect from 6-11-2008 by the management of S. M. V. Associates, Kollam is justifiable ? If not, what relief the worker is entitled to get?

(6)

G O. (Rt.) No. 284/2010/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 18th February 2010.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Manager (Industrial Relations), KDHP Company Private Limited, Munnar and the workmen of the above referred establishment represented by the General Secretary, Workers Congress, Munnar in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order ;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Ernakulam. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the dismissal of Shri P. A. Varghese, Clerk, Engineering Department, KDHP Company Private Limited, Munnar by the management is justifiable or not ? If not what relief he is entitled to ?

(7)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 287/2010/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 18th February 2010.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between Shri C. T. Wilson Master, Novelty Publications, 92 C, Jai Hind Market Building, Thrissur and the workman of the above referred establishment Shri K. K. Ramesh, Kottilikkal House, Parappoor P. O., Thrissur in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order ;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (d) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Industrial Tribunal, Palakkad. The Industrial Tribunal will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the denial of employment to Shri K. K. Ramesh, Worker, designated as Manager by the management of Novelty Publications, Thrissur is justifiable ? If not what relief he is entitled to get ?

(8)

G. O. (Rt.) No. 288/2010/LBR.

Thiruvananthapuram, 18th February 2010.

Whereas, the Government are of opinion that an industrial dispute exists between the Secretary, Kannur Municipality, Kannur and the workmen of the above referred establishment represented by the Secretary, Municipal Labour Union (AITUC), Rajeev Gandhi Road, Kannur in respect of matters mentioned in the annexure to this order ;

And whereas, in the opinion of Government it is necessary to refer the said industrial dispute for adjudication ;

Now, therefore, in exercise of the powers conferred by section 10 (1) (c) of the Industrial Disputes Act of 1947 (Central Act XIV of 1947) the Government hereby direct that the said industrial dispute be referred for adjudication to the Labour Court, Kannur. The Labour Court will pass the award within a period of three months.

ANNEXURE

Whether the claim for permanency and regularisation of Smt. Baby Lalitha, Sanitation Worker w.e.f. 5-2-1994 and for pay scale and benefits applicable to a permanent worker is justifiable or not ? If not what remedy she is entitled to ?

By order of the Governor,

G. SIVAPRASAD,

Under Secretary to Government.